IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 102 of 2006 (M/B)

Sunil Kumar S/o Shri Ram Chandra
R/o 62 Mahallah Sayyad, Dehradun,
District Dehradun ..... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through
   S.S.P., Nainital.
2. Judicial Magistrate, Haldwani, Nainital.
3. S.H.O. P.S. Kotwali, Haldwani, Nainital.
4. Smt. Savita Singh, W/O Sri Sunil Kumar
   C/o Shri Bhoop Singh, presently residing
   At 72 Ramji Vihar, Daharia, Post-Manpur
   West via Haldwani, Nainital ..... Respondents

.....

Mr. Anurag Bisaria, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. D.K.Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 to 3.
Mr. P.S.Rawat, Advocate for respondent no. 4.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon. Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon. Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Mr. Anurag Bisaria, the learned counsel for the petitioner

Mr. D.K.Sharma, the learned Government Advocate for respondents 1 to 3.

Mr. P.S.Rawat, Advocate on behalf of Mr. P.C.Kandpal, the learned counsel for respondent no. 4.

2. Sri D. K. Sharma, the learned counsel for respondents 1 to 3 submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of the first information report and the case registered against him, now has become infructuous as the police after completion of investigation has filed charge-sheet against the petitioner before the concerning Magistrate.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(P. C. Pant, J.) (Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)
15.05.2006 15.05.2006

A